

Transportation Problem by Various Methods

Using linear programming method to solve transportation problem, we determine the value of

objective function which minimize the cost for transporting and also determine the number of unit can be transported from source i to destination j .

If x_{ij} is number of units shipped from source i to destination j .

The objective function

$$\text{minimize } Z = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} x_{ij}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} = s_i \quad \text{for } i=1,2,\dots,m.$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} = d_j$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

1. Introduction

The first main purpose is solving transportation

problem using three methods of transportation model by linear programming (LP). The three methods for solving Transportation problem are:

1. North West Corner Method
2. Minimum Cost Method
3. Vogel's approximation Method

Transportation Model

Transportation model is a special type of networks problems that for shipping a commodity from source (e.g., factories) to destinations (e.g., warehouse).

Transportation model deal with get the minimum- cost plan to transport a commodity from a number of sources (m) to number of destination (n).

Let s_i is the number of supply units required at source i ($i=1, 2, 3, \dots, m$), d_j is the number of demand units required at destination j ($j=1, 2, 3, \dots, n$) and c_{ij} represent the unit transportation cost for transporting the units from sources i to destination j .

And $x_{ij} \geq 0$ for all i to j .

A transportation problem said to be balanced if the supply from all sources equals the total demand in all destinations

$$\sum_{i=1}^m s_i = \sum_{j=1}^n d_j$$

Otherwise it is called unbalanced.

A transportation problem is said to be balanced

if the total supply from all sources equals the total demand in all destinations

Otherwise it is called unbalanced.

METHODS FOR SOLVING

TRANSPORTATION PROBLEM

There are three methods to determine the solution for balanced transportation problem:

1. Northwest Corner method
2. Minimum cost method
3. Vogel's approximation method

The three methods differ in the "quality" of the starting basic solution they produce and better starting solution yields a smaller objective value.

We present the three methods and an illustrative example is solved by these three methods.

1. North- West Corner Method

The method starts at the Northwest-corner cell (route) of the tableau (variable x_{11})

- (i) Allocate as much as possible to the selected cell and adjust the associated amounts of supply and demand by subtracting the allocated amount.
- (ii) Cross out the row or Column with zero supply or demand to indicate that no further assignments can be made in that row or column. If both a row and a column net to zero simultaneously, cross out one only and leave a zero supply (demand in the uncrossed-out row (column)).

(iii) If exactly one row or column is left uncrossed out,

stop .otherwise, move to the cell to the right if a column has just been crossed out or below if a row has been crossed out .Go to step (i).

2. Minimum-Cost Method

The minimum-cost method finds a better starting solution by concentrating on the cheapest routes. The method starts by assigning as much as possible to the cell with the smallest unit cost .Next, the satisfied row or column is crossed out and the amounts of supply and demand are adjusted accordingly. If both a row and a column are satisfied simultaneously, only one is crossed out, the same as in the northwest –corner method .Next ,look for the uncrossed-out cell with the smallest unit cost and repeat the process until exactly one row or column is left uncrossed out .

3. Vogel's Approximation Method (VAM)

Vogel's Approximation Method is an improved version of the minimum-cost method that generally produces better starting solutions.

- (i) For each row (column) determine a penalty measure by subtracting the smallest unit cost element in the row (column) from the next smallest unit cost element in the same row (column).
- (ii) Identify the row or column with the largest penalty. Break ties arbitrarily. Allocate as much as possible to the variable with the least unit cost in the selected row or column satisfied row or column. If a row and column are satisfied simultaneously, only one of the two is crossed out, and the remaining row (column) is assigned zero supply (demand).

(iii) (a) If exactly one row or column with zero

supply or demand remains uncrossed out, stop.

(b) If one row (column) with positive supply

(demand) remains uncrossed out, determine the basic variables in the row (column) by the least-cost method .stop. (c) If all the uncrossed out rows and columns have (remaining) zero supply and demand, determine the zero basic variables by the least-cost method .stop.). (d) Otherwise, go to step (i).

Second allocation is made in the cell (1,2) and the magnitude of the allocation is given by $X_{12} = \min(250-200, 225) = 50$

ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE

Millennium Herbal Company ships truckloads of grain from three silos to four mills . The supply (in truckloads) and the demand (also in truckloads) together with the unit transportation costs per truckload on the different routes are summarized in the transportation model in table.1.

	D	E	F	G	Available
A	11	13	17	14	250
B	16	18	14	10	300
C	21	24	13	10	400
Requairement	200	225	275	250	

Table 1. Transportation model of example (Millennium Herbal Company Transportation)

The model seeks the minimum-cost shipping schedule between the silos and the mills.

This is

equivalent to determining the quantity x_{ij} shipped from silo i to mill j ($i=1, 2, 3; j=1, 2, 3, 4$)

1. North West-Corner method

The application of the procedure to the model of the example gives the starting basic solution in table.2.

Table 2. The starting solution using Northwest- corner method

$$\text{Since } \sum a_i = \sum b_j = 950$$

The Starting basic Solution is given as follows :

The first allocation is made in the cell (1,1), the magnititude being $x_{11} = \min(250, 200) = 200$. The

Third allocation is made in the cell (2,2) and the magnitude of the allocation is given by

$$X_{22} = \min(300, 225 - 50) = 175$$

Fourth allocation is made in the cell (2,3) and the magnitude of the allocation is given by

$$X_{23} = \min(300 - 175, 275) = 125.$$

Fifth allocation is made in the cell (3,3) and the magnitude of the allocation is given by

$$X_{33} = \min(400, 275 - 125) = 150.$$

Sixth allocation is made in the cell (3,4) and the magnitude of the allocation is given by

$$X_{34} = \min(400 - 150, 250) = 250.$$

Table 2 T.P. solution using North West corner method

Hence an IBFS to the given TP has been obtained and is displayed in the Table 1.1

The Transportation cost according to the above is given by

$$Z = 200 \times 11 + 50 \times 13 + 175 \times 18 + 125 \times 14 + 150 \times 13 + 250 \times 10 = 12200.$$

2. Minimum Cost Method

The minimum-cost method is applied to Example (*Millennium Herbal Company*) in the following manner:

	D	E	F	G	Available
A	11	13	17	14	250
B	16	18	14	10	300
C	21	24	13	10	400
Requirement	200	225	275	250	

1. Cell (3,4) has the least unit cost in the tableau (=10).the most that can be shipped through (3,4) is $x_{12} = \min(250, 300) = 250$. which happens to satisfy

column 4 simultaneously, we arbitrarily cross out column 4 and adjust in the availability 400-250=50.

	D	E	F	G	Available

B	16	18	14	10	300
C	21	24	13	250 10	400- 250=150
Requirement	200	225	275	250- 250=0	

2. Cell(1,1) has the least unit cost in the tableau (=11).

	D	E	F	G	Available

	D	E	F	G	Available
A	200 11	50 13	17	14	250

B	16	175 18	125 14	10	300
---	----	-----------	-----------	----	-----

C	21	24	150 13	250 10	400
Requirement	200	225	275	250	
A	200 11	13	17	14	250- 250=0

B	16	18	14	10	300
C	21	24	13	10	400
Requirement	200	225	275	250	

the most that can be shipped through (1,1) is $x_{11} = \min(200, 250) = 200$. which happens to satisfy

column 1 simultaneously, we arbitrarily cross out column 1 and adjust the in availability 250 - 200=50.

3. Continuing in the same manner ,we successively assign Cell (1,2) has the least unit cost in the tableau (=13).the most that can be shipped through (1,2) is $x_{12} = \min(225, 50) = 50$. which happens to satisfy row 1 simultaneously, we arbitrarily cross out row 1 and adjust the in requirement 225-50=175.

A	11	13	17	14	250
---	----	----	----	----	-----

	D	E	F	G	Available
A	11	50 13	17	14	50-50=0
B	16	18	14	10	300
C	21	24	13	10	400
Requirement	200	225-50=175	275	250	

4. Continuing in the same manner ,we successively assign Cell (3,3) has the least unit cost in the tableau (=13).the most that can be shipped through (3,3) is $x_{33}=\min (275,400) =275$. which happens to satisfy column 3 simultaneously, we arbitrarily cross out column 3 and adjust the in availability $400-275=125$.

	D	E	F	G	Available
A	11	13	17	14	50
B	16	18	14	10	50
C	21	24	150 13	10	150-150=0
Requirement	200	175	275-150=125	250	

5. Continuing in the same manner ,we successively assign Cell (2,2) has the least unit cost in the tableau (=18).the most that can be shipped through (2,2) is $x_{22}=\min (50,175) =50$. which happens to satisfy row 2 simultaneously, we arbitrarily cross out row 2 and adjust the requirement $175-50=125$.

	D	E	F	G	Available
A	11	13	17	14	50
B	16	175 18	14	10	300-175=125
C	21	24	13	10	125
Requirement	200	175-50=125	275	250	

6.. Continuing in the same manner ,we successively assign Cell (3,2) has the least unit cost in the tableau (=24).the most that can be shipped through (3,2) is $x_{32}=\min (125,125) =125$. which happens to satisfy row 3 simultaneously,

	D	E	F	G	Available
A	11	13	17	14	50
B	16	175 18	14	10	175
C	21	24	13	10	125
Requirement	200	175-175=0	275	250	

The final T.P. rout

	D	E	F	G	Available
A	200 11	50 13	17	14	250
B	16	175 18	125 14	10	300
C	21	24	150 13	250 10	400
Requirement	200	175	275	250	

we arbitrarily cross out row 3 and balance the availability and requirement.

The Transportation cost according to the above route is given by

$$Z=200 \times 11 + 50 \times 13 + 175 \times 18 + 125 \times 14 + 150 \times 13 + 250 \times 10 = 12200.$$

3. Vogel's Approximation Method (VAM)

VAM is applied to Example in the following manner:- We compute the difference between the smallest and next-to-smallest cost in each row and each column are computed and displayed inside the parenthesis against the respective rows and columns. The largest of these differences is (5) and is associated with the first column is c_{11} , we allocate $x_{11} = \min(250, 200) = 200$ in the cell (1,1). This exhausts the requirement of the first column and, therefore, we cross off the first column. The row and column differences are now computed for the resulting reduced transportation Table (3.1), the largest of these is (5) which is associated with the second column. Since $c_{12} (=13)$ is the minimum cost we allocate $x_{12} = \min(50, 225) = 50$.

	D	E	F	G	Available
A	200 11	13	17	14	250- 200=50 (2)
B	16	18	14	10	300 (4)
C	21	24	13	10	400 (3)
Requirement	200- 200=0 (5)	225 (5)	275 (1)	250 (0)	

Table 3.1

	E	F	G	Available
A	50 13	17	14	50-50=0 (1)
B	18	14	10	300 (4)
C	24	13	10	400 (3)
Requirement	225- 50=175 (5)	275 (1)	250 (0)	

Table 3.2

This exhausts the availability of first row and therefore, we cross off the first row. Continuing in this manner, the subsequent reduced transportation tables and the differences of the surviving rows and columns are shown below :

	E	F	G	Available
B	175 18	14	10	300 - 175=125 (4)
C	24	13	10	400 (3)
Requirement	175- 175=0(6)	275 (1)	250 (0)	

Table 3.3

C	13	10	400 (3)
Requirement	275 (1)	250- 125=0 (0)	

Table 3.4

	F	G	Available
C	275 13	10	400 (3)
Requirement	275 (1)	250 (0)	

Table 3.5

Eventually, the basic feasible solution shown in Table 3.7 is obtained

	D	E	F	G	Available
A	200 11	50 13	17	14	250
B	16	175 18	14	125 10	300
C	21	24	275 13	125 10	400
Requirement	200	225	275	250	

The transportation cost according to this route is given by

$$Z = 200 \times 11 + 50 \times 13 + 175 \times 18 + 125 \times 10 + 275 \times 13 + 125 \times 10 = 1207$$

