

Ex 11 Let $A = \{b \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \mid \text{positive rational no. s.t. } b^2 < 2\}$
 $B = \{b \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \mid b^2 > 2\}$

A is bounded above, all the elements of B will act as an upper bound for A.

B has a lower bound, and all the elements of A behave as a lower bound of this B.

But neither B nor A has a least upper bound and the greatest lower bound.

So,

2. $E_1 = \{n \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \text{s.t. } n < 0\}$

$E_2 = \{n \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \text{s.t. } n \leq 0\}$

$\sup(E_1) = 0$ & $\sup(E_2) = 0$

$\sup(E_1) \notin E_1$ but $\sup(E_2) \in E_2$

3. $\sup\{1, 2, 3\} = 3$, $\inf\{1, 2, 3\} = 1$

4. If $a < b$, then $b = \sup[a, b] = \sup(a, b)$

and $a = \inf[a, b] = \inf(a, b)$

5. If $S = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid e < x < \pi\}$, then $\inf(S) = e$, $\sup(S) = \pi$

6. If $S = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid x^2 < \pi\}$, then $\inf S = -\sqrt{\pi}$ & $\sup S = \sqrt{\pi}$

8. suppose that $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} / 1 < x < 2\}$.

2 is an upper bound of A .

let $M > 1$ be an upper bound of A .
We will prove that $M \geq 2$.

Suppose the contrary that

$$1 < M < 2.$$

let n be a rational no. such that
 $M < n < 2$ then $n \in A$.

and $M < n$ which contradict the
fact that M is an upper bound of A .

Hence we must have $2 \leq M$ so that

$$\sup \{A\} = 2.$$

Since 2 is not an element of A ,

so, 2 is not $\max^m$ of A .

Theorem:- Supremum of a set is unique

Prf: Let S be any subset of $\mathbb{R}$.

Suppose that u_1 and u_2 are both supremum of S .

If $u_1 < u_2$, then the hypothesis that u_2 is a supremum implies u_1 cannot be an upper bound of S .

Similarly, we ~~can~~ say ~~that~~ ^{can} that $u_2 < u_1$ is not possible.

Therefore, we must have $u_1 = u_2$.

Theorem:- Infimum of a set is unique.

■ In order for a nonempty set S in $\mathbb{R}$ to have a supremum, it must have an upper bound.

■ Supremum of a set may or may not be an element of the set.

$$S_2 = \{x : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$$

$$0 = \sup S_2$$

$$0 = \inf S_2$$

$$1 = \sup S_2$$

$$1 = \inf S_2$$

The Completeness Property of $\mathbb{R}$:

Every nonempty subset of real numbers that has an upper bound also has a supremum in $\mathbb{R}$.

This is also called the Supremum Property of $\mathbb{R}$.

~~Ex~~ Suppose that S is a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{R}$ that is bounded below.

Then the nonempty set $\bar{S} = \{-s : s \in S\}$ is bounded above, and the supremum property implies that $u = \sup \bar{S}$ exists in $\mathbb{R}$. Which implies S has an infimum in $\mathbb{R}$.

~~Ex~~ Example: Let S be a non-empty ~~set~~ subset of $\mathbb{R}$ that is bounded above, and let a be any number in $\mathbb{R}$.

Define the set $a+S = \{a+s : s \in S\}$.

Then $\sup(a+S) = a + \sup(S)$.

$\Rightarrow$ Let $u = \sup S$, then $n \leq u \quad \forall n \in S$.

$$n \leq u$$

$$\Rightarrow a+n \leq a+u.$$

$\Rightarrow a+u$ is an upper bound for the

set $a+S$.

$$\text{Therefore } \sup(a+S) \leq a+u. \rightarrow (i)$$

It v is any ~~element~~ upper bound of the set $a+S$, then $a+n \leq v \quad \forall n \in S$.

$$\Rightarrow n \leq v-a \quad \forall n \in S$$

$\Rightarrow v-a$ is an upper bound S .

Therefore

$$u = \sup S \leq v-a$$

$$\Rightarrow u \leq v-a$$

$$\Rightarrow u+a \leq v$$

Since v is any upper bound of $A+S$, we can replace v by $\sup(A+S)$ to get $u+a \leq \sup(A+S)$. $\rightarrow$ (ii)
Combining (i) & (ii), we conclude that $\sup(A+S) = u+a = a + \sup S$.

(b) Let A and B are two nonempty subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$a \leq b \quad \forall a \in A \text{ \& } \forall b \in B.$$

Prove that $\sup(A) \leq \inf(B)$.

$\Rightarrow$ For given $b \in B$, we have $a \leq b \quad \forall a \in A$

$\Rightarrow b$ is an upper bound of A

$$\Rightarrow \sup A \leq b,$$

And for given $a \in A$, $a \leq b \quad \forall b \in B$

$\Rightarrow a$ is a lower bound of B

$$\Rightarrow a \leq \inf B.$$

Now,

$$\sup A \leq a \leq b \leq \inf B$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup A \leq \inf B.$$