

Ex. 6. S.K. Mapa
Pg-22 Prove that the matrices $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 3b & a \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$
 forms a field under matrix addition & multiplication.
 Also show that it is an I.D. (See in the next page).

Soln: Let $S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 3b & a \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$.

$(S, +, \cdot)$ forms a ring with unity $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow$ Show it.

Let $X = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 3b & a \end{pmatrix} \in S, Y = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ 3q & p \end{pmatrix} \in S$.

Then $X \cdot Y = Y \cdot X$ for all $X, Y \in S \rightarrow$ verify it.

$\therefore (S, +, \cdot)$ is a commutative ring with unity.

Let X be a non-zero element of S . Then $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ and then $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$, $\det X = a^2 - 3b^2 \neq 0$, since $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$ and a, b are rational ($\in \mathbb{Q}$).

[Otherwise, if $a^2 - 3b^2 = 0$, then $a = \sqrt{3}b$

$\therefore \det X \neq 0 \Rightarrow X^{-1}$ exists and $\Rightarrow a \notin \mathbb{Q}$.]

$$X^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det X} \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ -3b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{a^2 - 3b^2} \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ -3b & a \end{pmatrix} \in S.$$

\therefore Each non-zero element of S is a unit.

Hence $(S, +, \cdot)$ forms a field. (proved).

Ex. 14. iii) Prove that the set $S = \{a + b\sqrt{-5} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
Pg-23 is an I.D.

Show first $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a commutative ring with unity $1 (= 1 + 0\sqrt{-5})$.

To show that $(S, +, \cdot)$ contains no divisor of zero, we take $p = (a + b\sqrt{-5}) \in S$ be a non-zero element.

Then $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$

Let $q = (c + d\sqrt{-5})$ and let $pq = 0 [0 + 0\sqrt{-5}]$

Then $(a + b\sqrt{-5}) \cdot (c + d\sqrt{-5}) = 0 \Rightarrow (ac - 5bd) + (ad + bc)\sqrt{-5} = 0 + 0\sqrt{-5}$

$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} ac - 5bd = 0 \\ ad + bc = 0 \end{cases}$ Homogeneous system giving non-zero solns for

c, d , if $| \begin{matrix} a & -5b \\ b & a \end{matrix} | = 0$,

i.e., if $a^2 + 5b^2 = 0$.

Since $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ & $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$, $a^2 + 5b^2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow c \& d$ both \neq zero,
 i.e., $c + d\sqrt{-5}$ is a zero element. Hence $(S, +, \cdot)$ is an I.D.

Ex.: Examine if the ring of matrices $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 3b & a \end{pmatrix}; a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$ is an I.D.

$$\text{Let } S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 3b & a \end{pmatrix}; a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$$

$(S, +, \cdot)$ forms a commutative ring with unity $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Let $x = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 3b & a \end{pmatrix}$ be a non-zero element of S .

Then $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$.

Let $XY = 0$, where $Y = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ 3q & p \end{pmatrix}$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} ap + 3bq = 0 \\ bp + aq = 0 \end{cases} \begin{array}{l} \text{homogeneous system} \\ \text{giving zero solutions} \end{array}$$

for p, q , if $| \begin{matrix} a & 3b \\ b & a \end{matrix} | \neq 0$
 $a, a^2 - 3b^2 \neq 0$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$.

[Otherwise, if $a^2 - 3b^2 = 0$, then $a = \sqrt{3}b$ & $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$.

$\therefore Y \in S$ is a zero element of S .

$\therefore (S, +, \cdot)$ contains no divisor of zero.

$\therefore (S, +, \cdot)$ is an I.D.

Ex.: Find the units in the ring of integral quaternions.

Let $H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a+ib & c+id \\ -c+id & a-ib \end{pmatrix}; a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$, set of all integral quaternions

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a+ib & c+id \\ -c+id & a-ib \end{pmatrix}$ be a unit in the ring H .

Then $A^{-1} \in H \Rightarrow \det A \neq 0 \Rightarrow a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 \neq 0$.

$$\therefore A^{-1} = \frac{1}{(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2)} \begin{pmatrix} a-ib & -c-id \\ -c+id & a+ib \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $A^{-1} \in H$, $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = 1$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \left. \begin{array}{l} a=1, b=c=d=0 \\ a=-1, b=c=d=0 \\ b=1, a=c=d=0 \\ b=-1, a=c=d=0 \\ c=\pm 1, a=b=d=0 \\ d=\pm 1, a=b=c=0 \end{array} \right\} \text{so there are 8 units:} \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} (1, 0), (-1, 0), (i, 0), (-i, 0) \\ (0, 1), (0, -1), (0, i), (0, -i) \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

SKEW FIELD / DIVISION RING

A non-trivial ring S with unity is said to be a skew field if every non-zero element of S is a unit.

Theorem: A skew field contains no divisor of zero.

Proof:- Let S be a skew field and $a(\neq 0) \in S \therefore \bar{a}^{-1} \in S$.
Let $a \cdot b = 0$ where $b \in S$.

$$\Rightarrow \bar{a}^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b) = \bar{a}^{-1} \cdot 0 \Rightarrow (\bar{a}^{-1} \cdot a) \cdot b = 0 \Rightarrow I \cdot b = 0 \Rightarrow b = 0.$$

$\Rightarrow a$ is NOT a left divisor of zero.

Let $b(\neq 0) \in S \therefore \bar{b}^{-1} \in S$.

Let $b \cdot a = 0$ where $a \neq 0 \in S \therefore \bar{a}^{-1} \in S$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{b}^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot a) = \bar{b}^{-1} \cdot 0 \Rightarrow (\bar{b}^{-1} \cdot b) \cdot a = 0 \Rightarrow b \cdot I \cdot a = 0 \Rightarrow b = 0$$

Consequently, a is not a divisor of zero.

$\therefore S$ contains no divisor of zero.

Theorem: The cancellation law holds in a skew field

Let S be a skew field and let $a, b, c \in S$ and
 $a \cdot b = a \cdot c$ where $a \neq 0 \therefore \bar{a}^{-1}$ exists in S .

Then $a \cdot (b - c) = 0 \therefore \bar{a}^{-1} \cdot [a \cdot (b - c)] = \bar{a}^{-1} \cdot 0$

$$\Rightarrow (\bar{a}^{-1} \cdot a) \cdot (b - c) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow I \cdot (b - c) = 0 \Rightarrow b = c.$$

\therefore left cancellation law holds in S . $\therefore \bar{a}^{-1} \in S$.

Again, let $b \cdot a = c \cdot a$ where $a \neq 0 \therefore \bar{a}^{-1} \in S$.

Then $(b - c) \cdot a = 0 \therefore [(b - c) \cdot a] \cdot \bar{a}^{-1} = 0 \cdot \bar{a}^{-1} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow (b - c) \cdot (a \cdot \bar{a}^{-1}) = 0 \Rightarrow (b - c) \cdot I = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow b = c.$$

\therefore right cancellation law holds in S .

Field: A commutative skew field is a field.

e.g.: $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot)$, $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$, $(\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot)$

① $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$, $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{3}]$, $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]$, ... are fields, w.r.t. $+$, \cdot .

② Finite fields $(\mathbb{Z}_5, +, \cdot)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_3, +, \cdot)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_7, +, \cdot)$.

A field is an I.D. but the converse is NOT true.

Let F be a field and $a(\neq 0) \in F$.

$$a \cdot b = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{a}^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b) = \bar{a}^{-1} \cdot 0 \Rightarrow b = 0.$$

Then $\bar{a}^{-1} \in F$. Let $a \cdot b = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{a}^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b) = \bar{a}^{-1} \cdot 0 \Rightarrow b = 0$.

$\therefore a$ is ~~not~~ a left divisor of zero, also is not

a right divisor of zero, as F is commutative.

Converse Example :- $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ is an I.D. but not a field

$pI = 0 \Rightarrow \text{char } D \text{ is either } p \text{ or } < p$

$qI = 0 \Rightarrow \text{char } D \text{ " " } q \text{ or } < q$.

In either case, $\text{char } D = m$ is contradicted.

$\therefore m$ is not a composite number.

$\therefore m$ is a prime number.

If, however, \exists no +ve integer m for which $mI = 0$ holds, then $\text{char } D = 0$.

Theorem :- If the characteristic of an I.D. D be a non-zero number p , then the order of every non-zero element in the group $(D, +)$ is p .

Proof: Since $\text{char } D = p$, p is a prime number.

Let x be a non-zero element of D .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } px &= x + x + \dots + x \quad (p \text{ times}) \\ &= (I + I + \dots + I) \cdot x, \quad I \text{ being the unity} \\ &= (pI) \cdot x = 0 \cdot x = 0. \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow order of x in the group $(D, +)$ is a divisor of p .

The only divisors of p are 1 and p .

The identity/zero element in the group $(D, +)$ is the only element of order 1.

Hence the order of x is p .

Field: Let F be a field and let $F^* = F - \{0\}$.

Then F^* forms a commutative group w.r.t. multiplication.

Theorem: A finite I.D. is a field.

Let D be a finite I.D. containing $a_0 (= 0), a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}$.

To prove: a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1} are all units.

Let a_1 be not the unity in D . Let us consider the products: $a_1 \cdot a_1, a_1 \cdot a_2, \dots, a_1 \cdot a_{n-1} \in D$ and none of them is zero, since D contains no divisor of zero. These are all distinct also [since D is commutative]

Let $a_1 \cdot a_p = I = a_p \cdot a_1$ [$\because D$ is commutative].

$\Rightarrow a_1$ is a unit in D .

If however, a_1 is the unity then also a_p is a unit in D . This proves that each non-zero element is a unit and proving that D is a field.

Ideals of a ring

Definition →

A subring S of a ring R is said to be

(i) a left ideal of R if $a \in S, r \in R \Rightarrow r \cdot a \in S$;

(ii) a right " " " " " " " " $\Rightarrow a \cdot r \in S$

(iii) an ideal (both-sided) " " " " $\Rightarrow r \cdot a \in S, a \cdot r \in S$.

Improper Ideal of R is R itself

Trivial Ideal of R is the subring $\{0\}$, also it is trivial ring/ subring.
(null ideal)

Theorem :- A non-empty subset S of a ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ is an ideal of R if and only if

(i) $(S, +)$ is a subgroup of the group $(R, +)$; and

(ii) $a \in S, r \in R \Rightarrow r \cdot a \in S, a \cdot r \in S$.

Proof: Let S be an ideal of R .

Then S is a subring of R . Therefore,

(i) $(S, +)$ is a subgroup of the group $(R, +)$.

(ii) $a \in S, r \in R \Rightarrow r \cdot a \in S, a \cdot r \in S$, since S is an ideal of R .

Conversely, let S be a non-empty subset of R where (i) & (ii) both hold.

Let $a \in S, b \in S \Rightarrow a \in S, b \in R \Rightarrow a \cdot b \in S$

$\therefore (S, +)$ is a subgroup of the group $(R, +)$

and $a \in S, b \in S \Rightarrow a \cdot b \in S$

$\therefore S$ is a subring of R with (ii) holds.

$\therefore S$ is an ideal of R .

Theorem :- A non-empty subset S of a ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ is an ideal of R if and only if

(i) $a \in S, b \in S \Rightarrow a - b \in S$; (ii) $a \in S, r \in R \Rightarrow r \cdot a \in S, a \cdot r \in S$.

Proof: Let S be an ideal of R . Then S is a subring of R such that $a \in S, r \in R \Rightarrow r \cdot a \in S, a \cdot r \in S$

Since S is a ring, $(S, +)$ is an abelian group,

$\therefore a \in S, b \in S \Rightarrow a \in S, -b \in S \Rightarrow a - b \in S$

$\therefore a \in S, b \in S \Rightarrow a \in S, b \in R \Rightarrow a \cdot b \in S$

\therefore Both (i) & (ii) hold in S .

Conversely, let S be a nonempty subset of R such that (i) & (ii) both hold.

(i) $\Rightarrow (S, +)$ is a subgroup of the group $(R, +)$

(ii) $\Rightarrow a \in S, b \in S \Rightarrow a \in S, b \in R \Rightarrow a \cdot b \in S$.

$\therefore S$ is a subring of R with (ii) holds.

$\Rightarrow S$ is an ideal of R .

Ex.1. Every subring of the ring \mathbb{Z} is an ideal.

The subrings of the ring \mathbb{Z} are $m\mathbb{Z}$, where m being a non-negative integer.

If $m = 0$. Then $m\mathbb{Z}$ is the null ideal $\{0\}$.

Let m be a (+ve) integer. Let $S = m\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $p \in S$. Then $p = mu$ for some $u \in \mathbb{Z}$.
For an arbitrary $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $pr = m(pr) \in S$, since (pr) is an integer.

$\therefore p \in S, r \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow pr \in S, r \in S$

$\therefore S$ is an ideal of the ring \mathbb{Z} .

Thus every subring of the ring \mathbb{Z} is an ideal.

Ex.2. Every subring of the ring \mathbb{Z}_n is an ideal.

The subrings of the ring \mathbb{Z}_n are precisely the cyclic subgroups of the group $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$ generated by positive divisors (d) of n .

When $d=1$, the subring is \mathbb{Z}_n itself (improper ideal).

When $d=n$, " " " $\{\bar{0}\}$, the trivial subring (null ideal).

Let m be a proper divisor of n and $n=md$ ($1 < d < n$).
Then $S = \{\bar{m}, 2\bar{m}, 3\bar{m}, \dots, (d-1)\bar{m}, \bar{0}\}$ is a subring of the ring \mathbb{Z}_n .

Let $a \in S, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Then $a = s\bar{m}$, $1 \leq s \leq d$; $1 \leq b \leq n$.

$bs = qd+r$, $0 \leq r < d$

Then $ba = bs\bar{m} = qd\bar{m} + r\bar{m} = r\bar{m} \in S$.
 $\Rightarrow ab \in S$ [$\because \mathbb{Z}_n$ is a commutative ring].

$\therefore S$ is an ideal of the ring \mathbb{Z}_n .

Thus every subring of the ring \mathbb{Z}_n is an ideal.

③ $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ is a subring of the ring $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot)$.

But $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ is not an ideal of $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot)$, since $a \in \mathbb{Z}, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ does not necessarily imply $qa \in \mathbb{Z}$.

④ Let R be the ring of all real valued continuous functions defined on $[0, 1]$ and let $S = \{f \in R : f(\frac{1}{2}) = 0\}$. Then S is an ideal of R .

S is non-empty subset of R , since the zero fn. $0 \in S$.
 $f-g$ is continuous fn. on $[0, 1]$ and $(f-g)(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$.

$\Rightarrow f-g \in S$.

Let $h \in R$, $hf(1/2) = fh(1/2) \Rightarrow hf \in S, fh \in S$.

$\therefore f \in S, h \in R \Rightarrow hf \in S$ and $fh \in S$.

$\therefore S$ is an ideal of R .

The: Let S and T be two ideals of a ring R . Then $S \cap T$ is an ideal of R .

Note: The intersection of a family of ideals of R is an ideal of R .

Note: The union of two ideals of a ring R may not be an ideal of R .

Let $R = (\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$, $S = (2\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$, $T = (3\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$.
Then S and T are ideals of R but $S \cup T$ is not an ideal of R , since $3 \in S \cup T$, $2 \in S \cup T$ but $3-2 \notin S \cup T$.

The: $S \cup T$ is an ideal of R iff either $S \subset T$ or $T \subset S$.

Conversely, let $S \cup T$ be an ideal of R .

Let us suppose that neither $T \subset S$ nor $S \subset T$.
Then both $T-S$ and $S-T$ are non-empty.

Let $x \in T-S$, $y \in S-T$.

$x \in S \cup T$, $y \in S \cup T \Rightarrow x-y \in S \cup T$.

If $x-y \in S$, $(x-y)+y (=x) \in S$, a contradiction.

If $x-y \in T$, $x-(x-y) [=y] \in T$, "

" Our assumption is wrong.

The: $S+T = \{s+t : s \in S, t \in T\}$ is an ideal of R and it is the smallest ideal of R containing both S & T .

$0 \in S, 0 \in T \Rightarrow 0 \in S+T \Rightarrow S+T$ is non-empty.

$a \in S+T, b \in S+T \Rightarrow a-b \in S+T$.

Let $r \in R$ be arbitrary, $ar = (s_1+t_1)r = s_1r+t_1r \in S+T$.

Also $r \in S+T$ is an ideal of R .

" $S+T$ is an ideal.

To prove the smallest ideal:

Let P be any ideal containing both S & T .

Let P be any ideal containing both S & T , let $x = s_1+t_1$, let $s_1 \in S$, $t_1 \in T \Rightarrow s_1+t_1 \in P \Rightarrow s_1, t_1 \in P$.

Let $x \in S+T$, let $x = s_1+t_1$, $s_1 \in S$, $t_1 \in T \Rightarrow s_1+t_1 \in P \Rightarrow x \in P$.

$S+T \subseteq P \Rightarrow S+T = P$.

" $x \in S+T \Rightarrow x \in P \Rightarrow S+T \subseteq P$.

The: If an ideal S of a ring R with unity contains a unit of R then $S=R$.

Let S contain a unit u of R , $\Rightarrow u^{-1} \in R$.

Let S contain u^{-1} .

$$uu^{-1} = u^{-1}u = 1.$$

$u \in S, u^{-1} \in R \Rightarrow uu^{-1} \in S \Rightarrow 1 \in S$.

Let $a \in R$, $a \in S, 1 \in S \Rightarrow a \in S \Rightarrow a \in R \Rightarrow S=R$.

" $S=R$.

Subring:

Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring and S be a non-empty subset of R s.t. S is stable under $+$ and \cdot , i.e.,
 $a, b \in S \Rightarrow a+b \in S, a \cdot b \in S$.

The restriction maps \oplus, \odot of $+, \cdot$: $\oplus: S \times S \rightarrow S$, defined by
 $a \oplus b = a+b, \forall a, b \in S$.
& $a \odot b = a \cdot b$ " "

If S forms a ring under $\oplus \& \odot$, S is said to be a subring of R .

Definition. A non-empty subset S of R is said to be a subring of $(R, +, \cdot)$ if S forms a ring under the compositions $+$ and \cdot restricted to S .

Improper Subring

Trivial "

e.g.: $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ is a ring. $(2\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ is a subring.

Ideals

Ex. The ideal $p\mathbb{Z} + q\mathbb{Z}$ of the ring \mathbb{Z} is the ideal \mathbb{Z} itself, where $\gcd(p, q) = 1$. p, q are sre integers.

$\gcd(p, q) = 1 \Rightarrow pu + qv = 1$ for some $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$.
 $pu + qv \in p\mathbb{Z} + q\mathbb{Z}$, since $v \in \mathbb{Z}$, $qv \in q\mathbb{Z}$.

Since $u \in \mathbb{Z}$, $pu \in p\mathbb{Z}$, since $v \in \mathbb{Z}$, $qv \in q\mathbb{Z}$.

$\therefore pu + qv \in p\mathbb{Z} + q\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow 1 \in p\mathbb{Z} + q\mathbb{Z}$.
As the ideal $p\mathbb{Z} + q\mathbb{Z}$ of the ring contains the identity element of \mathbb{Z} , $p\mathbb{Z} + q\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$, because.

$1 \in p\mathbb{Z} + q\mathbb{Z}$, and $a \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow 1 \cdot a \in p\mathbb{Z} + q\mathbb{Z}$.

$\therefore \mathbb{Z} \subset p\mathbb{Z} + q\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z} + q\mathbb{Z}$ [$\because p\mathbb{Z} + q\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}$]

A division ring/field has no non-trivial proper ideals

Let S be a non-trivial ideal of R .

Then S contains a non-zero element of R which is also a unit in R .

Since S is an ideal of R with unity and S contains a unit of R . $\Rightarrow S = R$.

$\therefore R$ has no non-trivial proper ideal.

Note: Simple ring \rightarrow if it has no non-trivial proper ideals

Subrings & Ideals ..

Subrings of the ring \mathbb{Z}_n :

Let $(T, +, \cdot)$ be a subring of the ring \mathbb{Z}_n . Then the group $(T, +)$ must be a subgroup of the group $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$. As the group $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$ is cyclic, the subgroup $(T, +)$ is also cyclic.

For every +ve divisor d of n , there is one and only one subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$ of order d and it is generated by $\frac{n}{d}$, a divisor of n .

Let d be a +ve divisor other than 1 and n .

Let $n = md$ ($1 < m < n$). Then m is a +ve divisor of n and $\bar{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ generates the additive cyclic subgroup $S = \{\bar{m}, 2\bar{m}, 3\bar{m}, 4\bar{m}, \dots, (d-1)\bar{m}, \bar{0}\}$ of order d .

We prove that S is a subring of \mathbb{Z}_n .

Let $x, y \in S$. Then $x = p\bar{m}$, $y = q\bar{m}$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $0 \leq p, q < d$.

Then $x \cdot y = p\bar{m} \cdot q\bar{m}$

Now, let $pq\bar{m} = \lambda d + \mu$, where $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $0 \leq \mu < d$.

Then $(pm)(qm) = \lambda md + \mu m = \lambda n + \mu m$.

$$\Rightarrow (p\bar{m})(q\bar{m}) = \mu\bar{m}$$

$\therefore x \cdot y = \mu\bar{m} \in S$, since $0 \leq \mu < d$.

$\therefore (S, +, \cdot)$ is a subring of the ring \mathbb{Z}_n .

If $d=1$ or $d=n$, then we have the trivial subring $\{\bar{0}\}$ or the improper subring \mathbb{Z}_n resp.

\therefore The all subrings of the ring \mathbb{Z}_n is same as the " cyclic subgroups of the group $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$ generated by +ve divisors of n .

e.g.: The subrings of the ring \mathbb{Z}_6 are: $\mathbb{Z}_6, \{\bar{2}, \bar{4}, \bar{0}\}$, $\{\bar{3}, \bar{0}\}$ and $\{\bar{0}\}$ corres. to the divisors 1, 2, 3 & 6.

The subrings of the ring \mathbb{Z}_8 are:

$\mathbb{Z}_8, \{\bar{2}, \bar{4}, \bar{6}, \bar{0}\}$, ~~$\{\bar{3}, \bar{6}, \bar{0}\}$~~ , $\{\bar{4}, \bar{0}\}$ and $\{\bar{0}\}$ corres. to the divisors 1, 2, 4 & 8.

$$f(a)=d$$

$$d = \text{divisor}$$

Every subring of the ring \mathbb{Z}_n is an ideal.

We have the subrings of the ring \mathbb{Z}_n are the cyclic subgroups of the group $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$ generated by the divisors of n .

We have the ^{improper} ideal \mathbb{Z}_n and the null ideal $\{\bar{0}\}$ corresponds to the divisors 1 and n .

Let m be a proper ^{proper} divisor of n and $n = md$ ($1 < d < n$)

Then $S = \{\bar{m}, 2\bar{m}, 3\bar{m}, \dots, (d-1)\bar{m}, \bar{0}\}$ is a subring of \mathbb{Z}_n .

Let $a \in S$ and $\bar{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ then $a = u\bar{m}$ for $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $1 \leq u \leq d$ and $1 \leq p \leq n$.

$p\bar{u}$ is a ^{proper} integer. By D.A., $p\bar{u} = q\bar{d} + \bar{r}$, $0 \leq r < d$

Then $\bar{p}a = \bar{p}u\bar{m} = q\bar{d}\bar{m} + \bar{r}\bar{m} = \bar{r}\bar{m} \in S$ ~~is a~~

$\therefore \bar{p}a \in S \Rightarrow a\bar{p} \in S$, since \mathbb{Z}_n is a commutative ring.

$\therefore S$ is an ideal of the ring \mathbb{Z}_n .

Thus every subring of the ring \mathbb{Z}_n is an ideal.

Principal ideal in a ring:

Let S be a non-empty subset of a ring R .
The intersection of all ideals of R containing S is the smallest ideal of R containing S . This is called the ideal generated by S .

In particular, if S be a single element of R , then the ideal generated by the element is called a principal ideal of R .

\therefore Corresponding to each element of a ring R there is a principal ideal of R .

Definition → The smallest ideal of R containing the element $a \in R$, is said to be a principal ideal of R . It is said to be the ideal generated by a and is denoted by $\langle a \rangle$.

Alternatively, an ideal U of a ring R is said to be a principal ideal of R if $U = \langle a \rangle$ for some $a \in R$,

Examples:

① Let R be a ring. The null ideal $\{\bar{0}\}$ is the smallest ideal of R containing the element 0 . The null ideal $\{\bar{0}\}$ is a principal ideal of R .

② Let R be a ring with unity 1 . Let U be the smallest ideal of R containing the element 1 . Since U is an ideal $a \in R, 1 \in U \Rightarrow 1 \cdot a \in U \Rightarrow a \in U \Rightarrow U = R$, R is the principal ideal.

(3) In the ring $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$, the subring/ideal $m\mathbb{Z}$ is a principal ideal (m being a fixed integer). We show that the ideal $m\mathbb{Z}$ is the smallest ideal containing the element m . Let U be any ideal of the ring \mathbb{Z} containing m . Then $ma \in U$, as $m \in U$, $a \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow ma \in U$, $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\Rightarrow m\mathbb{Z} \subset U$. This proves that $m\mathbb{Z}$ is a principal ideal of the ring \mathbb{Z} .

(4) Here, all ideals of the ring \mathbb{Z} are principal ideals. e.g. In the ring \mathbb{Z}_2 , the subrings $\{0, +1, +8, +12\}$ is an ideal. It is the smallest ideal containing the element $+1$. It is the principal ideal $\langle 1 \rangle$.

Set us consider the ring $R = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, where $(a, b) + (c, d) = (a+c, b+d)$ and $(a, b) \cdot (c, d) = (ac, bd)$. The subring S of all ordered pairs $\{(a, 0) : a \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an ideal of R , which is the smallest ideal containing the element $(1, 0)$ of R . Let U be any ideal of R containing $(1, 0)$. Set $(p, 0) \in S$. Then $(p, 0) \in U$, $\forall p \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\therefore (1, 0) \cdot (p, 0) = (p, 0) \in U$. Hence S is a principal ideal.

Theorem: Let R be a commutative ring with unity and $a \in R$. Then the set $Ra = \{ra : r \in R\}$ is a principal ideal of R generated by a .

First to prove: Ra is an ideal of R . $0 \in R \Rightarrow 0a \in Ra \Rightarrow Ra$ is a non-empty subset of R .

Let $p = r_1 a \in Ra$, $q = r_2 a \in Ra$ for $r_1, r_2 \in R$. $\therefore p - q = (r_1 - r_2)a \in Ra$.

Let $r \in R$, $p = r, a \in Ra$. Then $r.p = r(r, a) = (rr)a \in Ra$.

To prove: Ra is a principal ideal of R and $Ra = \langle a \rangle$

To prove: Ra is a principal ideal of R containing a , and let U be any ideal of R containing a .

$ra \in Ra$ for some $r \in R$.

$\therefore r \in U, a \in U \Rightarrow ra \in U$, since U is an ideal.

$\therefore Ra \subset U \Rightarrow Ra$ is a principal ideal generated by a .

Principal Ideal Ring:

A ring is said to be a principal ideal ring if every ideal of the ring is a principal ideal.

Examples:

① The ring \mathbb{Z} is a principal ideal ring.

The ideals are: $m\mathbb{Z}$ where m is a non-negative integer.
Let $m=0$. Then $0\mathbb{Z}$ is the null ideal $\{\bar{0}\}$. It is the principal ideal $\langle \bar{0} \rangle$.

Let m be a +ve integer and let $U = m\mathbb{Z}$, m being the least +ve integer in U .
 $U = \{rm : r \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is the principal ideal generated by m , since \mathbb{Z} is a commutative ring with unity and $U = \{rm : r \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.
Thus every ideal in \mathbb{Z} is a principal ideal,
 \mathbb{Z} is called principal ideal ring.

② Show that the ring \mathbb{Z}_n is a principal ideal ring.

Let U be an ideal of \mathbb{Z}_n .

If U be the null ideal then $U = \langle \bar{0} \rangle$ and it is the principal ideal.

If $U = \mathbb{Z}_n$, then $U = \langle \bar{1} \rangle$ and it is the principal ideal.

In the ring \mathbb{Z}_n , every subring is an ideal and the subrings are the cyclic subgroups of (\mathbb{Z}_n) generated by positive divisors of n .

Let $U = \{\bar{m}, 2\bar{m}, 3\bar{m}, \dots, (\bar{d}-1)\bar{m}, \bar{0}\}$, where m is a proper +ve divisor of n and $n = md$. Then $1 \leq d < n$ and U becomes an ideal in \mathbb{Z}_n .

and U is the least +ve integer s.t. $\bar{m} \in U$.

Let m is the least +ve integer s.t. $\bar{m} \in U$

$$U = \{p\bar{m} : 1 \leq p \leq d\}$$

Let $H = \{x\bar{m} : x \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$

$\bar{m} \in U, x \in \mathbb{Z}_n \Rightarrow x\bar{m} \in U$

$\therefore H \subseteq U$.

Again $U \subseteq H \Rightarrow U = H$ since \mathbb{Z}_n is a commutative ring with unity, and $U = \{x\bar{m} : x \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$,

* U is the principal ideal generated by m .

Thus every ideal in \mathbb{Z}_n is a principal ideal and hence the ring \mathbb{Z}_n is a principal ideal ring.

Prime ideal in a ring:

In a ring R , an ideal $P \neq R$ is said to be a prime ideal if for $a, b \in R$, $a \cdot b \in P \Rightarrow$ either $a \in P$ or $b \in P$.

Maximal ideal in a ring:

In a ring R , an ideal $M \neq R$ is said to be a maximal ideal in R if for any ideal U of R satisfying $M \subset U \subset R$, either $U = M$ or $U = R$.

i.e., a maximal ideal M of a ring R is such that there exists no proper ideal of R strictly containing M .

Examples:

① In the null ideal $\{0\}$ in the ring \mathbb{Z} is a prime ideal, since for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \cdot b \in \{0\} \Rightarrow a \cdot b = 0 \Rightarrow$ either $a = 0$ or $b = 0 \Rightarrow a \in \{0\}$ or $b \in \{0\}$.

② The ideal $2\mathbb{Z}$ in the ring \mathbb{Z} is a prime ideal. Let $a, b \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\Rightarrow a \cdot b = 2m, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$\Rightarrow 2 | a \cdot b \Rightarrow 2 | a \text{ or } 2 | b.$$

$$2 | a \Rightarrow a \in 2\mathbb{Z}, \quad 2 | b \Rightarrow b \in 2\mathbb{Z}.$$

$$\therefore a \cdot b \in 2\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \text{either } a \in 2\mathbb{Z} \text{ or } b \in 2\mathbb{Z}$$

$\Rightarrow 2\mathbb{Z}$ is a prime ideal in the ring \mathbb{Z}

③ BUT, the ideal $4\mathbb{Z}$ in the ring \mathbb{Z} is not a prime ideal, since $2 \cdot 2 \in 4\mathbb{Z}$, where $2 \notin 4\mathbb{Z}$.

④ The ideal $4\mathbb{Z}$ in the ring \mathbb{Z} may not be a prime ideal, since $a \cdot b \in 4\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow a \cdot b = 4m, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\Rightarrow 4 | a \cdot b \Rightarrow \text{either } 4 | a \text{ or } 4 | b$$

\Rightarrow either $2 | a$ and $2 | b$

$$\Rightarrow 2 | a \Rightarrow a \in 2\mathbb{Z}, \quad 2 | b \Rightarrow b \in 2\mathbb{Z}.$$

$\therefore a \cdot b \in 4\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow a \in 2\mathbb{Z} \text{ & } b \in 2\mathbb{Z}$
 $\Rightarrow a \notin 4\mathbb{Z} \text{ or } b \notin 4\mathbb{Z}$.

$\therefore 4\mathbb{Z}$ may not be a prime ideal.

⑤ But the ideal $5\mathbb{Z}$ in the ring \mathbb{Z} is ~~not~~ a prime ideal, since $a \cdot b \in 5\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow$ either $a \in 5\mathbb{Z}$
 $\Rightarrow 5\mathbb{Z}$ is a prime ideal in the ring \mathbb{Z} ,

Infact, the prime ideals in the ring \mathbb{Z} are the ideals $p\mathbb{Z}$, where p is either 0 or a prime.

Let p be a prime.

To prove: $p\mathbb{Z}$ is a prime ideal.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $a \cdot b \in p\mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$a \cdot b = pm \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$\Rightarrow p | a \cdot b \Rightarrow$ either $p | a$ or $p | b$ [since p is prime].

$p | a \Rightarrow a = pu$ for some $u \in \mathbb{Z}$, hence $a \in p\mathbb{Z}$

$p | b \Rightarrow b \in p\mathbb{Z}$.

Thus $a \cdot b \in p\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow$ either $a \in p\mathbb{Z}$ or $b \in p\mathbb{Z}$

$\therefore p\mathbb{Z}$ is a prime ideal in the ring \mathbb{Z} .

Conversely, let $p\mathbb{Z}$ be a non-null prime ideal in \mathbb{Z} .
 $\therefore p \neq 0$. Since $p\mathbb{Z}$ is a prime ideal, $p\mathbb{Z} \neq \mathbb{Z}$ and
hence $p \neq 1$.

Let $p | ab$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$\Rightarrow ab = pu$ for $u \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\Rightarrow ab \in p\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow$ either $a \in p\mathbb{Z}$ or $b \in p\mathbb{Z}$

$a \in p\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow p | a, b \in p\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow p | b$

$\therefore p | a \cdot b \Rightarrow$ either $p | a$ or $p | b \Rightarrow p$ is a prime.

Th: P, Q are prime ideals of a commutative ring R .
Then $P \cap Q$ is a prime ideal of R iff either $P \subset Q$
or $Q \subset P$.

Let $P \cap Q$ be a prime ideal.

To prove: either $P \subset Q$ or $Q \subset P$, i.e.,

" $P - Q$ or $Q - P$ is empty".

If possible, let $x \in P - Q, y \in Q - P$.

$\therefore x \in P, x \notin Q; y \in Q, y \notin P$.

Since P is an ideal of R , $x \in P, y \in Q - P \subset R \Rightarrow xy \in P$.

" $Q - P$, $y \in Q, x \in P - Q \subset R \Rightarrow xy \in Q$.

$\therefore xy \in P \cap Q \Rightarrow$ either $x \in P \cap Q$ or $y \in P \cap Q$.

$\therefore x \in P \cap Q \& y \in P \cap Q \Rightarrow$ it is a contradiction.

But $x \notin Q$ & $y \notin P$, \therefore it is a contradiction.

$\therefore P - Q$ or $Q - P$ is empty;

Conversely: Set either $P \subset Q$ or $Q \subset P$.

If $P \subset Q$, then $P \cap Q = P$, which are prime ideals

& if $Q \subset P$, " $P \cap Q = Q$ "

$\therefore P \cap Q$ is a prime ideal of R .